

## OPINION 1531

***Disophrys* Foerster, 1862 (Insecta, Hymenoptera): *Agathis caesa* Klug, 1835 designated as the type species**

### Ruling

(1) Under the plenary powers all previous designations of type species for the nominal genus *Disophrys* Foerster, 1862 are hereby set aside and *Agathis caesa* Klug, 1835 is designated as type species.

(2) The name *Disophrys* Foerster, 1862 (gender: feminine), type species by designation under the plenary powers in (1) above, *Agathis caesa* Klug, 1835, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.

(3) The name *caesa* Klug, 1835, as published in the binomen *Agathis caesa* (specific name of the type species of *Disophrys* Foerster, 1862) is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

### History of Case 2583

An application for the designation of *Agathis caesa* Klug, 1835 as the type species of *Disophrys* Foerster, 1862 was received from Dr C. van Achterberg (*Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie, Leiden, The Netherlands*) on 12 September 1986. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 44: 105–106 (June 1987). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals. No comments were received.

### Decision of the Commission

On 1 September 1988 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 44: 105. At the close of the voting period on 1 December 1988 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 17: Bayer, Cocks, Corliss, Hahn, Halvorsen, Heppell, Kabata, Kraus, Lehtinen, Melville, Mroczkowski, Ride, Savage, Schuster, Starobogatov, Uéno, Willink

Negative votes — none.

No votes were returned by Dupuis, Gruchy and Trjapitzin.

Cogger, Holthuis and Thompson were on leave of absence.

### Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on Official Lists by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

*caesa*, *Agathis*, Klug, 1835, In Walzl, *Reise durch Tyrol Oberitalien und Piemont nach den südlichen Spanien*, vol. 2, p. 89.

*Disophrys* Foerster, 1862, *Verhandlungen des Naturhistorischen Vereins der Preussischen Rheinlande und Westfalens*, 19: 246.